

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 LAUSTEVEION DELANO JOHNSON,

9 *Petitioner,*

10 vs.

11 DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,


12 *Respondents.*  
13  
14

2:08-cv-01363-JCM-RJJ

ORDER

15 IT IS ORDERED that all state court record exhibits filed herein – by either petitioner  
16 or respondents – shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by  
17 number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by the number or  
18 numbers of the exhibits in the attachment, in the same manner as in No.  
19 3:06-cv-00087-ECR-VPC, ## 25-71. The purpose of this provision is so that the court and  
20 any reviewing court thereafter will be able to quickly determine from the face of the electronic  
21 docket sheet which exhibits are filed in which attachments. In short, counsel, whether for  
22 respondents or petitioner, shall not file exhibits in a manner that requires this court or a  
23 reviewing court to go "fishing" through multiple unmarked attachments on the electronic  
24 docket sheet to find specific exhibits. **Counsel additionally shall send a hard copy of all**  
25 **exhibits filed -- for this particular case -- to the Las Vegas clerk's office.**

26 DATED: March 15, 2012.  
27  
28

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES C. MAHAN  
United States District Judge